arXiv:1403.1134v4 [math.NT] 6 Nov 2014

FINITE REAL MULTIPLE ZETA VALUES GENERATE THE WHOLE SPACE Z

SEIDAI YASUDA

Abstract. We prove that the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space generated by the multiple zeta values is generated by the finite real multiple zeta values introduced by Kaneko and Zagier.

1. Introduction

Masanobu Kaneko and Don Zagier [KZ] (see also [K]) recently introduced, for each (not necessarily admissible) index $\Bbbk = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$, a real number $\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$, which they called (a regularization with respect to the series expression of) the finite real multiple zeta value with index $\Bbbk$. If we denote by $k = |\Bbbk|$ the weight of $\Bbbk$, then $\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$ belongs to the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space Z_k generated by the usual multiple zeta values of weight k.

For each integer $k \geq 0$, we let $Z_k^{\mathcal{F}}$ denote the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace of Z_k spanned by the finite real multiple zeta values of weight k. Masanobu Kaneko kindely informed the author that a numerical experiment by Kaneko and Zagier suggests that the equality $Z_k^{\mathcal{F}} = Z_k$ holds. The aim of this article is to give a proof of this equality, which is stated in Theorem 6.1 as the main result of this article.

Kaneko and Zagier also introduced in [KZ] a variant of $\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$, by using the regularization with respect to the iterated integral expression instead of that with respect to the series expression. We prove in Theorem 6.4 that an analogous equality holds for this variant.

We prove the equality $Z_k^{\mathcal{F}} = Z_k$ in an induction of the pair (k, n) (with the lexicographic ordering) of the weight and the depth of an index. Let $\Bbbk$ be an index of depth n. It is easy to see that the finite real multiple zeta value $\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$ belongs to the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace $Z_{k,\leq n}$ of Z_k generated by the multiple zeta values of weight k and depth at most n. Let $Z_{k,+}$ denote the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace of Z_k generated by

$$\sum_{\substack{k',k'' \geq 1 \\ k'+k''=k}} Z_{k'} \cdot Z_{k''}.$$

One can show, by using the parity result ([IKZ, Corollary 8], [T]) and [IKZ, (8.6)], that for any index $\Bbbk$ of depth n, the finite real multiple zeta value $\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$ belongs to the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace $Z_{k,+} + Z_{k,\leq n-1}$. We introduce a variant $\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$ of the finite real multiple zeta value $\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$. One can check that the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}$ generated by the variants $\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$ of weight k coincides with $Z_k^{\mathcal{F}}$. In Proposition 3.1, we give an explicit description of $\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk) \in Z_k$ of weight k and depth n in terms of the multiple zeta values of weight $n-1$ modulo $Z_{k,+} + Z_{k,\leq n-2}$. We prove the main theorem by using this description and some simple linear algebras.

Among a lot of relations between the multiple zeta values, we only use the regularized double shuffle relations in the proof of the main result of this paper. Hence

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M32; Secondary 05A19.
Key words and phrases. Finite real multiple zeta values.

the main result of this paper can be generalized without difficulty to other multiple zeta values satisfying the regularized double shuffle relations, e.g., to motivic multiple zeta values introduced by Brown [B] (using an idea of Goncharov) and to p-adic multiple zeta values introduced by Furusho [F].

The generalization to the motivic multiple zeta values will have the following important application in future: the author will propose, in his joint work with M. Hirose in preparation, a conjectural formula between Deligne's p-adic multiple zeta values and some finite multiple harmonic sums. If we assume this conjectural formula, then the generalization of the main result of this paper to the motivic multiple zeta values gives a surjetive homomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras from the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear span of motivic multiple zeta values modulo motivic $\zeta(2)$ to the $\mathbb{Q}$-subalgebra $Z_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A} = \left(\prod_p \mathbb{F}_p\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ generated by the multiple zeta values $\zeta^{\mathcal{A}}(\Bbbk)$ with values in $\mathcal{A}$ (see [KZ] and §2.6 for the definition of $\zeta^{\mathcal{A}}(\Bbbk)$). This will give us a lot of information on the structures of the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $Z_{\mathcal{A}}$.

2. Notation

2.1. **Notation for indices.** We denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ the set of non-negative integers, and the set of positive integers, respectively.

Let I denote the following set:

$$I = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (\overbrace{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^{n \text{ times}}).$$

An element in I is called an index. For an index $\Bbbk = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)$, the integer $k_1 + \cdots + k_n$ is called the weight of $\Bbbk$ and is denoted by $|\Bbbk|$. (For $n = 0$, we understand $|\Bbbk| = 0$).

The unique index $\Bbbk$ with $|\Bbbk| = 0$ is called the empty index and is denoted by $\emptyset$.

2.2. **Multiple zeta values.** Let us recall the definition of multiple zeta values introduced by Hoffman [H1] and independently by Zagier [Za]. We say that an index $\Bbbk = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ is an admissible index if $\Bbbk = \emptyset$ or $k_n \geq 2$. If $\Bbbk = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ is an admissible index, then the infinite sum

$$\sum_{0 < m_1 < \ldots < m_n} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_n^{k_n}} \tag{2.1}$$

is absolutely convergent in the field $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers. We denote by $\zeta(\Bbbk)$ or by $\zeta(k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ the real number expressed as the infinite sum (2.1).

2.3. **Finite real multiple zeta values.** Let $\Bbbk = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ be an index. According to Kaneko and Zagier [KZ], [K] we define (the regularization with respect to the series expression of) the finite real multiple zeta value $\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk) = \zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ as the limit

$$\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk) = \lim_{M \to \infty} \sum_{\substack{m_1, \ldots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 < |m_1|, \ldots, |m_n| < M \\ \frac{1}{m_1} > \cdots > \frac{1}{m_n}}} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_n^{k_n}}.$$

We can check that this limit exists in $\mathbb{R}$. It is clear from the definition that the finite real multiple zeta values $\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$ satisfy the shuffle product formulae with respect to the series expressions.

2.4. **Finite real multiple zeta values with $\natural$.** Let us introduce the following open subset $\angle_{n,\mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\angle_{n,\mathbb{R}} = \left\{ (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^\times)^n \;\middle|\; \frac{1}{t_1} > \cdots > \frac{1}{t_n} \right\}.$$

For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we let $w(\mathbf{x})$ denote the limit

$$w(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\mathrm{vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \cap \angle_{n,\mathbb{R}})}{\mathrm{vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon))}.$$

Here $B(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)$ denotes the open ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of radius ε centered at $\mathbf{x}$. For sufficiently small ε (which may depend on $\mathbf{x}$), we have $w(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathrm{vol}(B(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)\cap\angle_{n,\mathbb{R}})}{\mathrm{vol}(B(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon))}$, and $w(\mathbf{x})$ is 0 or a rational number whose inverse is a positive integer. If $\mathbf{x} \in \angle_{n,\mathbb{R}}$, then we have $w(\mathbf{x}) = 1$. If $\mathbf{x}$ does not belong to the closure of $\angle_{n,\mathbb{R}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then we have $w(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. For example when $n = 2$, we have

$$w((x_1, x_2)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 < x_1 < x_2, x_1 < x_2 < 0, \text{ or } x_2 < 0 < x_1, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 \text{ or } x_1 x_2 = 0, \\ 0, \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define (the regularization with respect to the series expression of) the finite real multiple zeta values $\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk) = \zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ with $\natural$ as the limit

$$\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk) = \lim_{M \to \infty} \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 < |m_1|, \dots, |m_n| < M}} \frac{w((m_1, \dots, m_n))}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_n^{k_n}}$$

in $\mathbb{R}$. We can check that this limit exists in $\mathbb{R}$.

2.5. **A relation between $\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$ and $\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$.** For integers m, n with $1 \leq m \leq n$, we denote by $\mathrm{Surj}(n, m)$ the set of surjective maps from the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ to the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$ which preserve the orderings $\leq$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_n = \mathrm{Aut}(\{1, \dots, n\})$ be the n-th symmetric group. For $\phi \in \mathrm{Surj}(n, m)$ we set

$$G_\phi = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid \phi \circ \sigma = \phi\}.$$

It follows from the definition that the group G_ϕ is equal to the direct product $\prod_{j=1}^m \mathrm{Aut}(\phi^{-1}(j))$. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(\phi^{-1}(j))$ is isomorphic to the $\sharp\phi^{-1}(j)$-th symmetric group for each j, we have

$$\sharp G_\phi = \prod_{j=1}^m (\sharp\phi^{-1}(j))!.$$

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and let $\Bbbk = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ be an index of depth n. Let m be an integer with $1 \leq m \leq n$. For $\phi \in \mathrm{Surj}(n, m)$, we set

$$\phi_* \Bbbk = \left(\sum_{i \in \phi^{-1}(1)} k_i, \sum_{i \in \phi^{-1}(2)} k_i, \dots, \sum_{i \in \phi^{-1}(m)} k_i \right).$$

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n} \sum_{\phi \in \mathrm{Surj}(n,m)} \frac{1}{\sharp G_\phi} \zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\phi_* \Bbbk).$$

Example 2.2. *For example when $n = 2$, we have*

$$\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(k_1, k_2) = \zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(k_1, k_2) + \frac{1}{2} \zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(k_1 + k_2).$$

2.6. **The case of totally odd indices.** We conclude this section by mentioning, although it will not be used in the main argument of this article, that the finite real multiple zeta values $\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$ with $\natural$ have the following remarkable property:

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\Bbbk = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ be a non-empty index. Suppose that $k_1, \dots, k_n$ are odd numbers. Then we have $\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk) = 0$.*

This is proved by showing the equality

$$\sum_{\substack{m_1,\dots,m_n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0<|m_1|,\dots,|m_n|<M}} \frac{w((m_1,\dots,m_n))}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_n^{k_n}} = 0$$

for any real number $M > 0$.

Kaneko and Zagier [KZ] defined, as the collection of finite multiple zeta values studied by [H3] and [Zh], the multiple zeta value $\zeta^{\mathcal{A}}(\Bbbk)$ which belongs to the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $\mathcal{A} = \left(\prod_p \mathbb{F}_p\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. We can consider the variant $\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{A}}(\Bbbk)$ with $\natural$ for the multiple zeta value $\zeta^{\mathcal{A}}(\Bbbk)$. As is expected from the conjecture by Kaneko and Zagier on a relation between the multiple zeta values $\zeta^{\mathcal{A}}(\Bbbk)$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and the finite real multiple zeta values, we have the following:

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\Bbbk = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ be a non-empty index such that $k_1, \dots, k_n$ are odd integers. Then the multiple zeta value $\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{A}}(\Bbbk)$ with $\natural$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is equal to zero.*

The lemma is proved by showing that, for a sufficently large prime number p, the component at p of $\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{A}}(\Bbbk)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{m_1,\dots,m_n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0<|m_1|,\dots,|m_n|<p/2}} \frac{w((m_1,\dots,m_n))}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_n^{k_n}} = 0.$$

3. A key proposition

3.1. **Notation.** For an integer $k \geq 0$, we denote by Z_k the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space generated by the multiple zeta values of weight k. By $Z_{k,+}$ we denote the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace

$$Z_{k,+} = \sum_{\substack{k',k'' \geq 1 \\ k'+k''=k}} Z_{k'} \cdot Z_{k''}$$

of Z_k. For an integer n, we denote by $Z_{k,\leq n}$ the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace generated by the multiple zeta values of weight k and depth at most n.

Let $\Bbbk = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ be an index of depth n. If $\Bbbk$ is of weight k, then it is known that $\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$ and $\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$ belong to $Z_{k,\leq n-1}$.

3.2. **Proposition.**

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\Bbbk = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ be a (not necessarily admissible) index of depth n. Let $k = k_1 + \cdots + k_n$ denote the weight of $\Bbbk$.*

1. *If k and n have a same parity, then we have $\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk) \in Z_{k,+}$.*
2. *If k and n have different parities and $k \neq 2$, then the following congruence holds modulo $Z_{k,+} + Z_{k,\leq n-2}$:*

(3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk) \equiv \quad & -(-1)^{k_1} \sum_{\substack{\ell_2,\dots,\ell_n \geq 0 \\ \ell_2+\cdots+\ell_n=k_1}} \prod_{i=2}^{n} \binom{k_i+\ell_i-1}{\ell_i} \cdot \zeta(k_2+\ell_2,\dots,k_n+\ell_n) \\ & +(-1)^{k_n} \sum_{\substack{\ell_1,\dots,\ell_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ \ell_1+\cdots+\ell_{n-1}=k_n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{k_i+\ell_i-1}{\ell_i} \cdot \zeta(k_1+\ell_1,\dots,k_{n-1}+\ell_{n-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Here in the right hand side, multiple zeta values for non-admissible indices may appear. We regard such values as the constant terms of the regularizations with respect to the series expressions or the iterated integral expressions. Since no index of the form $(1,\dots,1)$ appears in the right hand side, the constant terms of the two kinds of regularizations are congruent modulo $Z_{k,+}$.

Remark 3.2. (1) *We have stated Proposition 3.1 for finite real multiple zeta values $\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$. One can define finite motivic multiple zeta values with $\natural$, and can obtain a result for them similar to that in Proposition 3.1 for the finite real multiple zeta values with $\natural$.*

(2) *As we mentioned in Section 1, we can easily obtain from Proposition 3.1 a description of $\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$ modulo $Z_{k,+}+Z_{k,\le n-2}$ (see Corollary 3.3 below).*

(3) *When $k_{n-1},k_n\ge 2$, the right hand side of (3.1) is equal to the coefficient of $x^{k_1+k_n}$ of*

$$\sum_{0<m_2<\cdots<m_n}\frac{x^{k_n}}{(m_2+x)^{k_2}\cdots(m_n+x)^{k_n}}-\sum_{0<m_1<\cdots<m_{n-1}}\frac{x^{k_1}}{(m_1+x)^{k_1}\cdots(m_{n-1}+x)^{k_{n-1}}}$$

regarded as a formal power series in x.

(4) *We have described the result modulo $Z_{k,+}+Z_{k,\le n-2}$. However the same congruence as in Proposition 3.1 holds modulo*

$$Z_{k,\le n-2}+\sum_{\substack{k',k'',n',n''\ge 1\\ k'+k''=k,n'+n''=n}} Z_{k',\le n'}\cdot Z_{k'',\le n''}. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $\Phi(A,B)$ be the Drinfel'd associator, which is a non-commutative formal power series with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}$ in formal variables A, B. We slightly change the sign convention for $\Phi(A,B)$ in the original paper [D, §2] so that the formal power series $\Phi(A,B)$ relates the solutions of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation

$$\frac{\partial G(z)}{\partial z}=\left(\frac{A}{z}+\frac{B}{1-z}\right)G(z)$$

in a neighborhood of $z=0$ and that of $z=1$. Then for any index $\Bbbk=(k_1,\dots,k_n)$, the coefficient of the monomial $A^{k_n-1}BA^{k_{n-1}-1}\cdots BA^{k_1-1}B$ in $\Phi(A,B)$ is equal to the constant term of the multiple zeta value of index $\Bbbk$ regularized with respect to the iterated integral expression. For a word w over $\{A,B\}$, we denote by $Z(w)$ the coefficient of w in $\Phi(A,B)$. Under this notation, Proposition 3.1 can be restated as follows:

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\Bbbk=(k_1,\dots,k_n)$ be a (not necessarily admissible) index of depth n. Let $k=k_1+\cdots+k_n$ denote the weight of $\Bbbk$.*

(1) *If k and n have a same parity, then we have*

$$\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)\equiv-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\zeta(k_1,\dots,k_{i-1},k_i+k_{i+1},k_{i+1},\dots,k_n)$$

modulo $Z_{k,+}+Z_{k,\le n-2}$.

(2) *If k and n have different parities and $k\ne 2$, then we have*

$$\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)\equiv -Z(w)-(-1)^k Z(w^*)$$

modulo $Z_{k,+}+Z_{k,\le n-2}$. Here

$$w=A^{k_n-1}BA^{k_{n-1}-1}\cdots BA^{k_2-1}BA^{k_1}$$

and

$$w^*=A^{k_1-1}BA^{k_2-1}\cdots BA^{k_{n-1}-1}BA^{k_n}.$$

□

4. Preliminaries for a proof of Proposition 3.1

In this section we give some preliminaries for the proof of Proposition 3.1, which we will give in the next section.

4.1. **Permutation matrices.** For each element $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we denote by $w_\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i,j)-coordinate is equal to $\delta_{i,\sigma(j)}$. For $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we denote by $\sigma\tau$ the composite $\sigma \circ \tau$ of permutations. We then have $w_{\sigma\tau} = w_\sigma w_\tau$.

4.2. **The action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ on formal power series.** Let R be a commutative ring. For a formal power series $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ in n variables and for $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, we denote by $f|_\gamma \in R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ the formal power series

$$f|_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f((x_1, \dots, x_n)\gamma^{-1}).$$

When $\gamma = w_\sigma$, we denote $f|_{w_\sigma}$ by $f|_\sigma$. By definition, we have

$$f|_\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}).$$

For an element $x = \sum_\gamma a_\gamma \gamma$ in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})]$, we write $f|_x = \sum_\gamma a_\gamma f|_\gamma$. For an element $x = \sum_\sigma a_\sigma \sigma$ in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$, we write $f|_x = \sum_\sigma a_\sigma f|_\sigma$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ (resp. $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$), we have $f|_{xy} = (f|_x)|_y$.

4.3. **A generation function for the multiple zeta values of depth n.** For each integer $n \geq 0$, let us introduce the following formal power series $f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}$:

$$f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 1} \zeta^{\natural, \mathrm{reg}, *}(k_1, \dots, k_n) x_1^{k_1 - 1} \cdots x_n^{k_n - 1}.$$

Here

$$\zeta^{\natural, \mathrm{reg}, *}(\Bbbk) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n} \sum_{\phi \in \mathrm{Surj}(n,m)} \frac{1}{\sharp G_\phi} \zeta^{\mathrm{reg}, *}(\phi_* \Bbbk).$$

where the notation is as in Lemma 2.1 and the superscript "$\mathrm{reg}, *$" of $\zeta^{\mathrm{reg}, *}(\phi_* \Bbbk)$ stands for the constant term of the regularization with respect to the series expression. In a similar manner, we construct the formal power series $f^{*}_{\zeta,n}, f^{\text{ш}}_{\zeta,n} \in \mathbb{R}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ as follows:

$$f^{*}_{\zeta,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 1} \zeta^{\mathrm{reg}, *}(k_1, \dots, k_n) x_1^{k_1 - 1} \cdots x_n^{k_n - 1},$$

$$f^{\text{ш}}_{\zeta,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 1} \zeta^{\mathrm{reg}, \text{ш}}(k_1, \dots, k_n) x_1^{k_1 - 1} \cdots x_n^{k_n - 1}.$$

Here the superscript $\mathrm{reg}, \text{ш}$ stands for the constant term of the regularization with respect to the iterated integral expression. When $n = 0$, we understand $f^{\natural}_{\zeta,0} = f^{*}_{\zeta,0} = f^{\text{ш}}_{\zeta,0} = 1$.

4.4. **Notation for shuffles.** For each integer i with $0 \leq i \leq n$, let $\mathrm{Sh}_{n,i}$ denote the following subset of $\mathfrak{S}_n$:

$$\mathrm{Sh}_{n,i} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid \sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(i), \sigma(i+1) < \cdots < \sigma(n)\}.$$

We then have (cf. [H2, Theorem 2.5])

$$f^{\natural}_{\zeta,i}(x_1, \dots, x_i) f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-i}(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sh}_{n,i}} f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)|_\sigma.$$

We set

$$\mathrm{sh}_{n,i} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sh}_{n,i}} \sigma.$$

4.5. **A vector space for the generating functions.** We denote by Z_k the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}$ generated by the multiple zeta values of weight k. The formal power series $f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}$, $f^{*}_{\zeta,n}$, $f^{\text{ш}}_{\zeta,n}$ belong to the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace

$$M_n = \left\{ \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_n \geq 1} a_{k_1,\dots,k_n} x_1^{k_1-1} \cdots x_n^{k_n-1} \;\middle|\; a_{k_1,\dots,k_n} \in Z_{k_1+\cdots+k_n}, \forall k_1,\dots,k_n \geq 1 \right\}$$

of $\mathbb{R}[[x_1,\dots,x_n]]$.

4.6. **Some special elements in** $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$**.** We regard $\mathfrak{S}_n$ as a subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ in natural way. Let us introduce the following matrices $P_n, w_{n,0}, \epsilon_n \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$:

$$P_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w_{n,0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \iddots & \iddots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \iddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon_n = -1_n.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let notation be as above. Then there exists a unique injective homomorphism $\iota_n : \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ which satisfies the following properties:*

- *If $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, then $\iota_n(\sigma) = w_\sigma$ holds,*
- *The image under ι_n of the permutation*

$$\sigma' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 & n & n+1 \\ n-1 & n-2 & \cdots & 1 & n+1 & n \end{pmatrix}$$

is equal to $\epsilon_n P_n^{-1} w_{n,0} P_n$.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n}$ denote the abelian group of n-dimensional column vectors with coordinates in $\mathbb{Z}$. By the multiplication from the left, the group $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ acts from the left on $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n}$. This action give an isomorphism $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n})$ of groups. Via this isomorphism we identify $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n})$.

Let us consider the embedding $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n+1}$ which sends ${}^t(a_1,\dots,a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n}$ to

$${}^t(a_1,\dots,a_n,-(a_1+\cdots+a_n)) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n+1}.$$

The image of this embedding is stable under the action of the group $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$. Via this embedding, the group $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n}$. Let us denote by ι_0 the homomorphism $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n}) \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ supplied by this action. It is clear from the definition that we have $\iota_n(\sigma) = w_\sigma$ for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Let $e_1,\dots,e_n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n}$. The image $\iota_n(\sigma') \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n})$ of σ' under ι_n sends e_i to $e_{n-i} - e_n$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and sends e_n to $-e_n$. Hence it is equal to the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \iddots & \iddots & \iddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \iddots & \iddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can check that this matrix is equal to $\epsilon_n P_n^{-1} w_{n,0} P_n$, which proves that the homomorphism ι_n has the desired property. The uniqueness of ι_n follows since the group $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and the permutation σ'. □

In the sequel, we often omit the subscript n of $P_n, w_{n,0}, \iota_n$. They are abbreviated by P, w_0, ι, respectively.

4.7. **An identity of Ihara-Kaneko-Zagier.** Let $\tau_n \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ denote the transposition $\tau_n = (1, n+1)$. As is mentioned in [IKZ], the following equality can be checked easily:

Lemma 4.2. *The equality*

$$1 + \mathrm{sh}_{n,1} c_{n+1} = c_{n+1}(1 + \mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\tau_n)$$

holds in $\mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}]$. *Here* c_{n+1} *denotes the cyclic permutation*

$$c_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 & n & n+1 \\ 2 & 3 & \cdots & n & n+1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

of order $n+1$. □

5. A proof of Proposition 3.1

In this section, we give a proof of Proposition 3.1. The claim (1) is easy to prove and is left to the reader. The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the claim (2).

Since

$$\iota(c_{n+1}) = \epsilon_n w_0 P^{-1} w_0 P,$$

we have

$$f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}|_{1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\epsilon_n w_0 P^{-1} w_0 P} = f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}|_{\epsilon_n w_0 P^{-1} w_0 P(1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n))}.$$

5.1. **Some subspaces of M_n.** For an integer $k \geq 0$, we denote by $Z_{k,+}$ the subspace $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} Z_i \cdot Z_{k-i}$ of Z_k. The subspace

$$\left\{ \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_n \geq 1} a_{k_1,\ldots,k_n} x_1^{k_1-1} \cdots x_n^{k_n-1} \;\middle|\; a_{k_1,\ldots,k_n} \in Z_{k_1+\cdots+k_n,+}, \forall k_1,\ldots,k_n \geq 1 \right\}$$

of M_n is denoted by $M_{n,+}$. We denote by $Z_{k,\leq d}$ the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace of Z_k spanned by the multiple zeta values of weight k and of depth at most d The subspace

$$\sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_n \geq 1} a_{k_1,\ldots,k_n} x_1^{k_1-1} \cdots x_n^{k_n-1} \mid a_{k_1,\ldots,k_n} \in Z_{k_1+\cdots+k_n,\leq d}, \forall k_1,\ldots,k_n \geq 1\}$$

of M_n is denoted by $M_{n,\leq d}$.

5.2. **Step 1.** Since $f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}|_{\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}} \equiv 0 \mod M_{n,+}$, we have

$$f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}|_{1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\epsilon_n w_0 P^{-1} w_0 P} \equiv f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n} \mod M_{n,+}.$$

We can check that $f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}|_{1+(-1)^n w_0} \equiv 0 \mod M_{n,+}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} &f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}|_{\epsilon_n w_0 P^{-1} w_0 P(1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n))} \equiv (-1)^{n-1} f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}|_{P^{-1} w_0 P(1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n))\epsilon_n} \\ \equiv &(-1)^{n-1}(f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n} - f^{*}_{\zeta,n})|_{P^{-1} w_0 P(1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n))\epsilon_n} + (-1)^{n-1} f^{*}_{\zeta,n}|_{P^{-1} w_0 P(1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n))\epsilon_n} \end{aligned}$$

modulo $M_{n,+}$. Since $f^{*}_{\zeta,n} \equiv f^{\text{ш}}_{\zeta,n} \mod M_{n,+} + \mathbb{Q}\zeta(n)$ (here $\zeta(n)$ is regarded as a constant formal power series) and $f^{\text{ш}}_{\zeta,n}|_{P^{-1} w_0 P} \equiv (-1)^{n-1} f^{\text{ш}}_{\zeta,n} \mod M_{n,+}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}|_{\epsilon_n w_0 P^{-1} w_0 P(1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n))} \\ \equiv &(-1)^{n-1}(f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n} - f^{*}_{\zeta,n})|_{P^{-1} w_0 P(1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n))\epsilon_n} + f^{*}_{\zeta,n}|_{(1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n))\epsilon_n} \\ \equiv &(-1)^{n-1}(f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n} - f^{*}_{\zeta,n})|_{P^{-1} w_0 P(1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n))\epsilon_n} \\ &+ (f^{*}_{\zeta,n} - f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n})|_{(1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n))\epsilon_n} + f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}|_{(1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n))\epsilon_n} \\ \equiv &f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}|_{\epsilon_n} + (f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n} - f^{*}_{\zeta,n})|_{((-1)^{n-1}P^{-1} w_0 P - 1)(1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n))\epsilon_n} \end{aligned}$$

modulo $M_{n,+}+\mathbb{Q}\zeta(n)$. [1] Hence we have

(5.1)

$$f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}|_{\epsilon_n} \equiv f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n} + (f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n} - f^{*}_{\zeta,n})|_{((-1)^{n-1}P^{-1}w_0P-1)(1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n))} \mod M_{n,+}+\mathbb{Q}\zeta(n).$$

5.3. **Step 2.** Observe that we have

$$f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n} - f^{*}_{\zeta,n} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{x_i-x_{i+1}}\begin{pmatrix} f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,x_i,\widehat{x_{i+1}},\dots,x_n) \\ -f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_i},x_{i+1},\dots,x_n)\end{pmatrix}$$

modulo $M_{n,\le n-2}$. The formal power series

$$\left(\frac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,x_i,\widehat{x_{i+1}},\dots,x_n) - f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_i},x_{i+1},\dots,x_n)}{x_i-x_{i+1}}\right)\Bigg|_{P^{-1}w_0P}$$

is equal to

$$\frac{1}{x_{n-(i+1)}-x_{n-i}}\begin{pmatrix} f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_n-x_{n-1},\dots,x_n-\widehat{x_{n-(i+1)}},\dots,x_n-x_1,x_n) \\ -f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_n-x_{n-1},\dots,\widehat{x_n-x_{n-i}},\dots,x_n-x_1,x_n)\end{pmatrix}$$

when $i\le n-2$, and is equal to

$$\frac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_n-x_{n-1},\dots,x_n-x_{n-i},\dots,x_n-x_1) - f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_n-x_{n-1},\dots,x_n-x_2,x_n)}{-x_1}$$

when $i=n-1$. Since the congruence relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_n-x_{n-1},\dots,\widehat{x_n-x_{n-i}},\dots,x_n-x_1,x_n)\\
=&f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}|_{P_{n-1}^{-1}w_{n-1,0}P_{n-1}}(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_{n-i}},\dots,x_n)\\
\equiv&f^{\text{Ш}}_{\zeta,n-1}|_{P_{n-1}^{-1}w_{n-1,0}P_{n-1}}(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_{n-i}},\dots,x_n)\\
\equiv&(-1)^{n-2}f^{\text{Ш}}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_{n-i}},\dots,x_n)\\
\equiv&(-1)^{n-2}f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_{n-i}},\dots,x_n)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_n-x_{n-1},\dots,x_n-x_{n-i},\dots,x_n-x_1)\\
=&f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}|_{P_{n-1}^{-1}w_{n-1,0}P_{n-1}}(x_2-x_1,\dots,x_n-x_1)\\
\equiv&f^{\text{Ш}}_{\zeta,n-1}|_{P_{n-1}^{-1}w_{n-1,0}P_{n-1}}(x_2-x_1,\dots,x_n-x_1)\\
\equiv&(-1)^{n-2}f^{\text{Ш}}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2-x_1,\dots,x_n-x_1)\\
\equiv&(-1)^{n-2}f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2-x_1,\dots,x_n-x_1)
\end{aligned}$$

holds modulo $M_{n-1,+}+M_{n-1,\le n-2}$, the formal power series

$$\left(\frac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,x_i,\widehat{x_{i+1}},\dots,x_n) - f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_i},x_{i+1},\dots,x_n)}{x_i-x_{i+1}}\right)\Bigg|_{(-1)^{n-1}P^{-1}w_0P}$$

is congruent modulo $M_{n,+}+M_{n,\le n-2}$ to

$$-\frac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_{n-(i+1)}},\dots,x_n) - f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_{n-i}},\dots,x_n)}{x_{n-(i+1)}-x_{n-i}}$$

[1] We can ignore the index $\Bbbk=(1,\dots,1)$ in the discussion here since the weight and the depth have a same parity for this index.

when $i \leq n-2$, and is congruent modulo $M_{n,+} + M_{n,\leq n-2}$ to

$$\frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2, \dots, x_n)}{x_1}$$

when $i = n-1$. Hence we have

(5.2)
$$2(f^\natural_{\zeta,n} - f^*_{\zeta,n})|_{(-1)^{n-1}P^{-1}w_0P-1} \equiv \frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2-x_1,\dots,x_n-x_1) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_n)}{x_1} - \frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_{n-1}},x_n)}{x_{n-1}-x_n}.$$

modulo $M_{n,+} + M_{n,\leq n-2}$.

5.4. **Step 3.** We apply the operator $\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}$ to the formula (5.2).

5.4.1. First we apply $\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}$ to the first term in the right hand side of (5.2). Since

$$\mathrm{sh}_{n,1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & n \\ i & 1 & \cdots & i-1 & i+1 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2, \dots, x_n)}{x_1}\right)\Big|_{\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}} \\
=&\frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2, \dots, x_n)}{x_1} \\
&+ \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{1}{x_2} \begin{pmatrix} f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_3 - x_2, \dots, x_i - x_2, x_1 - x_2, x_{i+1} - x_2, \dots, x_n - x_2) \\ -f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_3, \dots, x_i, x_1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \end{pmatrix} \\
=&\frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2, \dots, x_n)}{x_1} \\
&+ \frac{1}{x_2}\left((f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\mathrm{sh}_{n-1,1}})(x_1 - x_2, x_3 - x_2, \dots, x_n - x_2) - (f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\mathrm{sh}_{n-1,1}})(x_1, x_3, \dots, x_n)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

(5.3)
$$\left(\frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2-x_1,\dots,x_n-x_1) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_n)}{x_1}\right)\Big|_{\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}} \equiv \frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2-x_1,\dots,x_n-x_1) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_n)}{x_1}$$

modulo $M_{n,+} + \mathbb{Q}\zeta(n)$.

5.4.2. Next we apply $\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}$ to the second term in the right hand side of (5.2). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_{n-1}},x_n)}{x_{n-1}-x_n}\right)\Big|_{\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}}\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{n-2}\frac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_i,x_1,x_{i+1},\dots,x_{n-1})-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_i,x_1,x_{i+1},\dots,\widehat{x_{n-1}},x_n)}{x_{n-1}-x_n}\\
&+\frac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_{n-1},x_1)-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_{n-1},x_n)}{x_1-x_n}\\
&+\frac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_n)-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_{n-1},x_1)}{x_n-x_1}\\
=&\frac{1}{x_{n-1}-x_n}\begin{pmatrix}(f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\mathrm{sh}_{n-1,1}}(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_{n-1},x_1))\\ -(f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\mathrm{sh}_{n-1,1}}(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_{n-1}},x_n)-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,\widehat{x_{n-1}},x_n,x_1))\end{pmatrix}\\
&+2\cdot\frac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_{n-1},x_1)-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_n)}{x_1-x_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\tfrac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_{n-1}},x_n)}{x_{n-1}-x_n}\right)\Big|_{\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}}\\
\equiv\ &\tfrac{1}{x_{n-1}-x_n}\left(f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,\widehat{x_{n-1}},x_n,x_1)-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_{n-1},x_1))\right)\\
&+2\cdot\tfrac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_{n-1},x_1)-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_n)}{x_1-x_n}
\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

modulo $M_{n,+}+\mathbb{Q}\zeta(n)$.

5.4.3. By (5.3) and (5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2(f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}-f^{*}_{\zeta,n})|_{((-1)^{n-1}P^{-1}w_0P-1)\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}}\\
\equiv\ &\tfrac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2-x_1,\dots,x_n-x_1)-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_n)}{x_1}\\
&-\tfrac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,\widehat{x_{n-1}},x_n,x_1)-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_{n-1},x_1)}{x_{n-1}-x_n}\\
&-2\cdot\tfrac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_{n-1},x_1)-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\dots,x_n)}{x_1-x_n}
\end{aligned}\tag{5.5}$$

modulo $M_{n,+}+M_{n,\leq n-2}+\mathbb{Q}\zeta(n)$.

5.5. **Step 4.** We apply the operator $\iota(\tau_n)$ to the congruence relation (5.5). Since

$$\iota(\tau_n)=\begin{pmatrix}-1&-1&\cdots&\cdots&-1\\0&1&0&\cdots&0\\\vdots&\ddots&\ddots&\ddots&\vdots\\\vdots&&\ddots&\ddots&0\\0&\cdots&\cdots&0&1\end{pmatrix}\in\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$$

and $\tau_n^{-1}=\tau_n$, we have

$$f|_{\tau_n}(x_1,\dots,x_n)=f(-x_1,x_2-x_1,\dots,x_n-x_1)$$

for a general $f \in \mathbb{R}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2(f^\natural_{\zeta,n} - f^*_{\zeta,n})|_{((-1)^{n-1}P^{-1}w_0P-1)\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n)} \\
\equiv&\frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2, \dots, x_n) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1)}{-x_1} \\
&- \frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2 - x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_{n-1} - x_1}, x_n - x_1, -x_1) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_1, -x_1)}{x_{n-1} - x_n} \\
&- 2 \cdot \frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_1, -x_1) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1)}{-x_n}
\end{aligned}$$

modulo $M_{n,+} + M_{n,\leq n-2} + \mathbb{Q}\zeta(n)$. We set

$$Q_{n-1} = \epsilon_{n-1} w_{n-1,0} P_{n-1}^{-1} w_{n-1,0} P_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2(f^\natural_{\zeta,n} - f^*_{\zeta,n})|_{((-1)^{n-1}P^{-1}w_0P-1)\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n)} \\
\equiv&\frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2, \dots, x_n) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1)}{-x_1} \\
&- \frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{Q_{n-1}^{-1}}(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_{n-1}}, x_n) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{Q_{n-1}^{-1}}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})}{x_{n-1} - x_n} \\
&- 2 \cdot \frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{Q_{n-1}^{-1}}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{Q_{n-1}^{-1}}(x_1 - x_n, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_n)}{-x_n}
\end{aligned}$$

modulo $M_{n,+} + M_{n,\leq n-2} + \mathbb{Q}\zeta(n)$. Since

$$f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{Q_{n-1}^{-1}} \equiv f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\epsilon_{n-1}}$$

modulo $M_{n-1,+} + M_{n-1,\leq n-2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2(f^\natural_{\zeta,n} - f^*_{\zeta,n})|_{((-1)^{n-1}P^{-1}w_0P-1)\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n)} \\
\equiv&\frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2, \dots, x_n) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1)}{-x_1} \\
&- \frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_{n-1}}, x_n) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})}{x_{n-1} - x_n} \\
&- 2 \cdot \frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1 - x_n, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_n)}{-x_n}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

modulo $M_{n,+} + M_{n,\leq n-2} + \mathbb{Q}\zeta(n)$.

5.6. **Step 5.** By (5.2) and (5.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2(f^\natural_{\zeta,n} - f^*_{\zeta,n})|_{((-1)^{n-1}P^{-1}w_0P-1)(1+\mathrm{sh}_{n,1}\iota(\tau_n))} \\
\equiv&2 \cdot \frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2, \dots, x_n)}{x_1} \\
&+ \frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{1-\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_{n-1}}, x_n) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{1-\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})}{x_{n-1} - x_n} \\
&- 2 \cdot \frac{f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1 - x_n, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_n) - f^\natural_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})}{x_n}
\end{aligned}$$

modulo $M_{n,+}+M_{n,\leq n-2}+\mathbb{Q}\zeta(n)$. Hence by (5.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}|_{\epsilon_n-1}\equiv\ & \tfrac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2-x_1,\ldots,x_n-x_1)-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\ldots,x_n)}{x_1}\\
&+\tfrac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}|_{1-\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1,\ldots,\widehat{x_{n-1}},x_n)-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}|_{1-\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})}{2(x_{n-1}-x_n)}\\
&-\tfrac{f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1-x_n,\ldots,x_{n-1}-x_n)-f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})}{x_n}
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.7}
$$

modulo $M_{n,+}+M_{n,\leq n-2}+\mathbb{Q}\zeta(n)$.

5.7. **Step 6.** Let $f^{\natural,\mathrm{even}}_{\zeta,n}$ and $f^{\natural,\mathrm{odd}}_{\zeta,n}$ denote the even degree part and the odd degree part of $f^{\natural}_{\zeta,n}$, respectively. By (5.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\natural,\mathrm{odd}}_{\zeta,n}|_{\epsilon_n-1}\equiv&\frac{f^{\natural,\mathrm{even}}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2-x_1,\ldots,x_n-x_1)-f^{\natural,\mathrm{even}}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\ldots,x_n)}{x_1}\\
&+\frac{f^{\natural,\mathrm{even}}_{\zeta,n-1}|_{1-\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1,\ldots,\widehat{x_{n-1}},x_n)-f^{\natural,\mathrm{even}}_{\zeta,n-1}|_{1-\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})}{2(x_{n-1}-x_n)}\\
&-\frac{f^{\natural,\mathrm{even}}_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1-x_n,\ldots,x_{n-1}-x_n)-f^{\natural,\mathrm{even}}_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\epsilon_{n-1}}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})}{x_n}
\end{aligned}
$$

modulo $M_{n,+}+M_{n,\leq n-2}$. Since $f^{\natural,\mathrm{odd}}_{\zeta,n}|_{\epsilon_n}=-f^{\natural,\mathrm{odd}}_{\zeta,n}$ and $f^{\natural,\mathrm{even}}_{\zeta,n-1}|_{\epsilon_{n-1}}=-f^{\natural,\mathrm{even}}_{\zeta,n-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2f^{\natural,\mathrm{odd}}_{\zeta,n}\equiv&\frac{f^{\natural,\mathrm{even}}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2-x_1,\ldots,x_n-x_1)-f^{\natural,\mathrm{even}}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_2,\ldots,x_n)}{x_1}\\
&-\frac{f^{\natural,\mathrm{even}}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1-x_n,\ldots,x_{n-1}-x_n)-f^{\natural,\mathrm{even}}_{\zeta,n-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})}{x_n}
\end{aligned}
$$

modulo $M_{n,+}+M_{n,\leq n-2}$. Let $k_1,\ldots,k_n\geq 1$ be integers. Set $k:=k_1+\cdots+k_n$. Suppose that $k\not\equiv n \mod 2$. By comparing the coefficients of $x_1^{k_1-1}\cdots x_n^{k_n-1}$ in the both sides of the equality above, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-2\zeta^{\natural,\mathrm{reg},*}(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\\
\equiv&(-1)^{k_1}\sum_{\substack{\ell_2,\ldots,\ell_n\geq 0\\ \ell_2+\cdots+\ell_n=k_1}}\binom{k_2+\ell_2-1}{\ell_2}\cdots\binom{k_n+\ell_n-1}{\ell_n}\zeta^{\natural,\mathrm{reg},*}(k_2+\ell_2,\ldots,k_n+\ell_n)\\
&-(-1)^{k_n}\sum_{\substack{\ell_1,\ldots,\ell_{n-1}\geq 0\\ \ell_1+\cdots+\ell_{n-1}=k_n}}\binom{k_1+\ell_1-1}{\ell_1}\cdots\binom{k_{n-1}+\ell_{n-1}-1}{\ell_{n-1}}\zeta^{\natural,\mathrm{reg},*}(k_1+\ell_1,\ldots,k_{n-1}+\ell_{n-1})
\end{aligned}
$$

modulo $Z_{k,\leq n-2}+\sum_{\substack{k',k''\geq 1\\ k'+k''=k}}Z_{k'}Z_{k''}$. Since we have $\zeta^{\natural,\mathrm{reg},*}(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\equiv\zeta^{\mathrm{reg},*}(k_1,\ldots,k_n)$ modulo $Z_{k,\leq n-2}$ and since we have $\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\equiv 2\zeta^{\natural,\mathrm{reg},*}(k_1,\ldots,k_n)$ modulo $\sum_{\substack{k',k''\geq 1\\ k'+k''=k}}Z_{k'}Z_{k''}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\zeta^{\natural,\mathcal{F}}(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\\
\equiv&(-1)^{k_n}\sum_{\substack{\ell_1,\ldots,\ell_{n-1}\geq 0\\ \ell_1+\cdots+\ell_{n-1}=k_n}}\binom{k_1+\ell_1-1}{\ell_1}\cdots\binom{k_{n-1}+\ell_{n-1}-1}{\ell_{n-1}}\zeta^{\natural,\mathrm{reg},*}(k_1+\ell_1,\ldots,k_{n-1}+\ell_{n-1})\\
&-(-1)^{k_1}\sum_{\substack{\ell_2,\ldots,\ell_n\geq 0\\ \ell_2+\cdots+\ell_n=k_1}}\binom{k_2+\ell_2-1}{\ell_2}\cdots\binom{k_n+\ell_n-1}{\ell_n}\zeta^{\natural,\mathrm{reg},*}(k_2+\ell_2,\ldots,k_n+\ell_n)
\end{aligned}
$$

modulo $Z_{k,\leq n-2}+\sum_{\substack{k',k''\geq 1\\ k'+k''=k}}Z_{k'}Z_{k''}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1 (2).

6. The main result

For each integer $k \geq 0$, we denote by $Z_k^{\mathcal{F}}$ the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space generated by the finite real multiple zeta values of weight k. A numerical experiment by Kaneko and Zagier suggest that $Z_k^{\mathcal{F}} = Z_k$. The main result of this article gives an affirmative answer to this expectation.

Theorem 6.1. *For any integer $k \geq 0$, we have $Z_k^{\mathcal{F}} = Z_k$.*

Remark 6.2. *By definition we have*

$$\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(k_1, \ldots, k_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{k_i + \cdots + k_n} \zeta^{\mathrm{reg},*}(k_1, \ldots, k_i) \zeta^{\mathrm{reg},*}(k_n, \ldots, k_{i+1}).$$

By using

$$\zeta^{\mathcal{F},\mathrm{Ш}}(k_1, \ldots, k_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{k_i + \cdots + k_n} \zeta^{\mathrm{reg},\mathrm{Ш}}(k_1, \ldots, k_i) \zeta^{\mathrm{reg},\mathrm{Ш}}(k_n, \ldots, k_{i+1})$$

instead of $\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(k_1, \ldots, k_n)$, we can construct the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $Z_k^{\mathcal{F},\mathrm{Ш}}$ analogous to $Z_k^{\mathcal{F}}$. As will be mentioned in [KZ]*, we can show that $\zeta^{\mathcal{F},\mathrm{Ш}}(k_1, \ldots, k_n) \equiv \zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ modulo $\zeta(2) Z_{k_1 + \cdots + k_n - 2}$. Hence it follows from Theorem 6.1 that we have $Z_k^{\mathcal{F},\mathrm{Ш}} + \zeta(2) Z_{k-2} = Z_k$.*

It is easy to check that Theorem 6.1 holds for $k \leq 2$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $Z_k^{\mathcal{F}}$ is equal to the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space generated by the finite real multiple zeta values with $\natural$ of weight k. Hence by induction of the pair of the weight and the depth of an index, we can reduce Theorem 6.1 to the following statement:

Theorem 6.3. *Let $k, n \geq 0$ be integers. When $\Bbbk$ runs over the (not necessarily admissible) indices of weight k and depth n, the right hand sides of* (3.1) *generate the space $Z_{k,\leq n-1}$ modulo the space*

$$Z_{k,\leq n-2} + \sum_{\substack{k', k'', n', n'' \geq 1 \\ k' + k'' = k, n' + n'' = n-1}} Z_{k',\leq n'} \cdot Z_{k'',\leq n''}.$$

6.1. **A variant of Theorem 6.1.** Before giving a proof of Theorem 6.1, we give a variant of Theorem 6.1 in this paragraph and prove it assuming Theorem 6.1.

For any index $\Bbbk = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)$, Kaneko and Zagier introduced in [KZ] a variant of $\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk)$, by using the regularization with respect to the iterated integral expression instead of that with respect to the series expression. According to [KZ], we denote this variant by $\zeta^{\mathcal{F},\mathrm{Ш}}(\Bbbk)$. By definition we have

$$\zeta^{\mathcal{F},\mathrm{Ш}}(\Bbbk) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{k_{i+1} + \cdots + k_n} \zeta^{\mathrm{reg},\mathrm{Ш}}(k_1, \ldots, k_i) \zeta^{\mathrm{reg},\mathrm{Ш}}(k_n, \ldots, k_{i+1}).$$

For any integers $k \geq 0$, we denote by $Z_k^{\mathcal{F},\mathrm{Ш}}$ the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space generated by the set $\{\zeta^{\mathcal{F},\mathrm{Ш}}(\Bbbk) \mid |\Bbbk| = k\}$.

Theorem 6.4. *For any integer $k \geq 0$, we have $Z_k^{\mathcal{F},\mathrm{Ш}} = Z_k$.*

We give a proof of Theorem 6.4 by assuming Theorem 6.1.

Proof. By Theorem 6.1, it suffices to show that $\zeta(2) \cdot Z_k^{\mathcal{F},\mathrm{Ш}} \subset Z_{k+2}^{\mathcal{F},\mathrm{Ш}}$ holds for any integer $k \geq 0$. The last claim follows by applying Proposition 6.5 below to the case $\Bbbk' = (2)$. □

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\Bbbk$ and $\Bbbk'$ be indices. Suppose that all the entries of $\Bbbk'$ are strictly larger than 1. Then we have*

$$\zeta^{\mathcal{F},\text{ш}}(\Bbbk)\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk') = \sum_{k''} \zeta^{\mathcal{F},\text{ш}}(\Bbbk''),$$

where $\Bbbk''$ runs over the stuffles of $\Bbbk$ and $\Bbbk'$.

Proof. For two indices $\Bbbk = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ and $\Bbbk' = (k'_1, \dots, k'_{n'})$, let $\mathrm{QSh}(\Bbbk, \Bbbk')$ denote the multiset of stuffles of $\Bbbk$ and $\Bbbk'$. For example, we have $\mathrm{QSh}((k),(k')) = \{(k,k'),(k',k),(k+k')\}$ and if $k = k'$, then the element (k,k) of $\mathrm{QSh}((k),(k))$ has multiplicity 2. For an index $\Bbbk = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$, let $I(\Bbbk)$ denote the set

$$\{((k_1, \dots, k_i), (k_n, \dots, k_{i+1})) \mid 0 \le i \le n\}$$

of pairs of indices. Then $\zeta^{\mathcal{F},\text{ш}}(\Bbbk)$ is equal to the sum

$$\sum_{(\Bbbk_1,\Bbbk_2)\in I(\Bbbk)} (-1)^{|\Bbbk_2|}\zeta^{\mathrm{reg},\text{ш}}(\Bbbk_1)\zeta^{\mathrm{reg},\text{ш}}(\Bbbk_2).$$

Now let $\Bbbk = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ and $\Bbbk' = (k'_1, \dots, k'_{n'})$ be indices such that all the entries of $\Bbbk'$ are strictly larger than 1. Then the product $\zeta^{\mathcal{F},\text{ш}}(\Bbbk)\zeta^{\mathcal{F}}(\Bbbk')$ is equal to the sum

$$\sum_{(\Bbbk_1,\Bbbk_2)\in I(\Bbbk)} \sum_{(\Bbbk'_1,\Bbbk'_2)\in I(\Bbbk')} (-1)^{|\Bbbk_2|+|\Bbbk'_2|}\zeta^{\mathrm{reg},\text{ш}}(\Bbbk_1)\zeta(\Bbbk'_1)\cdot\zeta^{\mathrm{reg},\text{ш}}(\Bbbk_2)\zeta(\Bbbk'_2). \tag{6.1}$$

It follows from [IKZ, Theorem 2] that we have

$$\zeta^{\mathrm{reg},\text{ш}}(\Bbbk_1)\zeta(\Bbbk'_1) = \sum_{\Bbbk''_1\in\mathrm{QSh}(\Bbbk_1,\Bbbk'_1)} \zeta^{\mathrm{reg},\text{ш}}(\Bbbk''_1) \tag{6.2}$$

and

$$\zeta^{\mathrm{reg},\text{ш}}(\Bbbk_2)\zeta(\Bbbk'_2) = \sum_{\Bbbk''_2\in\mathrm{QSh}(\Bbbk_2,\Bbbk'_2)} \zeta^{\mathrm{reg},\text{ш}}(\Bbbk''_2). \tag{6.3}$$

It is not difficult to check that the equality

$$\coprod_{\Bbbk''\in\mathrm{QSh}(\Bbbk,\Bbbk')} I(\Bbbk'') = \coprod_{(\Bbbk_1,\Bbbk_2)\in I(\Bbbk)} \coprod_{(\Bbbk'_1,\Bbbk'_2)\in I(\Bbbk')} \mathrm{QSh}(\Bbbk_1,\Bbbk'_1)\times\mathrm{QSh}(\Bbbk_2,\Bbbk'_2) \tag{6.4}$$

holds for any two indices $\Bbbk$ and $\Bbbk'$, where the both sides are regarded as multisets of pairs of two indices. We may express, by using (6.2) and (6.3), the sum (6.1) as a sum over the multiset which appears in the right hand side of (6.4). By rewriting the sum using (6.4), we obtain the desired equality. □

6.2. **A dual formulation.** We prove Theorem 6.3 by passing to the dual. Let us fix two integers $k, n \ge 0$ and set

$$Z' = Z_{k,\le n-1} + \sum_{\substack{k',k'',n',n''\ge 1,\\ k'+k''=k, n'+n''=n}} Z_{k',\le n'}\cdot Z_{k'',\le n''}.$$

Let W denote the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace of $Z_{k,\le n}$ generated by the set

$$\left\{ \text{RHS of (3.1) for } (k_1,\dots,k_{n+1}) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} k_1,\dots,k_{n+1}\ge 1,\\ k_1+\cdots+k_{n+1}=k \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then our aim is to prove $Z_{k,\le n} = Z' + W$. (The pair (k,n) in this paragraph corresponds to the pair $(k,n-1)$ in Theorem 6.3.)

We will prove $Z_{k,\le n} = Z' + W$ by proving that the map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Z_{k,\le n},\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Z'+W,\mathbb{Q})$ given by the restriction of domain is injective. Let $\alpha : Z_{k,\le n} \to \mathbb{Q}$

be a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map whose restriction to $Z' + W$ is equal to zero. We would like to prove $\alpha = 0$. Let us consider the polynomial

$$f = \sum_{\substack{k_1,\dots,k_n \geq 1 \\ k_1+\cdots+k_n=k}} \alpha(\zeta^{\mathrm{reg},*}(k_1,\dots,k_n)) x_1^{k_1-1} \cdots x_n^{k_n-1}$$

in $x_1, \dots, x_n$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$. It suffices to prove $f = 0$. Set $d = k - n$. By using that α is zero on Z', we can show that f belongs to $D_{n,d}$. The condition that α is zero on W implies that the polynomial f satisfies the condition in the statement of Proposition 6.6. Thus we can deduce Theorem 6.3 from Proposition 6.6.

For each integer $d \geq 0$, we let $V_{n,d}$ denote the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space of homogeneous polynomials in $x_1, \dots, x_n$ of degree d. According to [IKZ], we introduced the following $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace $D_{n,d} \subset V_{n,d}$ and call $D_{n,d}$ the linearized double shuffle space of weight $n + d$, depth n:

$$D_{n,d} = \{f \in V_{n,d} \mid f|_{\mathrm{sh}_{n,i}} = f|_{P_n^{-1}\mathrm{sh}_{n,i}} = 0 \text{ for any } i \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

To prove Theorem 6.3, it suffices to prove the following:

Proposition 6.6. *Let $d \geq 0$ be an even integer and let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D_{n,d}$. Suppose that the polynomial*

$$\frac{1}{x_1}\left(f(x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} - x_1) - f(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})\right) \tag{6.5}$$

in $n+1$ variable is invariant under the action of the cyclic permutation of the $n+1$ variables, i.e., the equality

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{x_1}\left(f(x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} - x_1) - f(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})\right) \\ =&\frac{1}{x_{n+1}}\left(f(x_1 - x_{n+1}, \dots, x_n - x_{n+1}) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\right) \end{aligned}$$

holds. Then $f = 0$.

6.3. **Preliminaries for the proof of Proposition 6.6.** For proving Proposition 6.6, we need some preparation.

Definition 6.7. *Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial in n variables. We say that f is diagonal translation invariant if the following equivalent conditions are satisfied:*

- *The polynomial $f(x_1+t, \dots, x_n+t)$ in $t, x_1, \dots, x_n$ is equal to $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.*
- *There exists a polynomial $g(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ in $n-1$ variables satisfying*
$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(x_1 - x_n, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_n).$$
- *We have $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = 0$.*

Lemma 6.8. *Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a symmetric polynomial in n variables. Suppose that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}(x_1 f)$ is diagonal translation invariant. Then f is a constant polynomial.*

Proof. We may assume that f is homogeneous. Let d denote the degree of f. Since f is symmetric and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}(x_1 f)$ is diagonal translation invariant, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(x_i f)$ is diagonal translation invariant for any integer i with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence

$$f = \frac{1}{n+d} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(x_i f)$$

is diagonal translation invariant. Then for any integer j with $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(x_j f) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left(x_j \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right) = 0.$$

This shows that f is constant. □

Lemma 6.9. *Let $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$. Suppose that*

$$\frac{1}{x_0}(f(x_1-x_0,\dots,x_n-x_0)-f(x_1,\dots,x_n))$$

is a symmetric polynomial in $n+1$ variables. Then f is diagonal translation invariant.

Proof. We set $h(x_0,\dots,x_n) = \frac{1}{x_0}(f(x_1-x_0,\dots,x_n-x_0)-f(x_1,\dots,x_n))$. By assumption h is a symmetric polynomial of degree $d-1$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}(x_0 h) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}(f(x_1-x_0,\dots,x_n-x_0))$$

is diagonal translation invariant. Hence it follows from Lemma 6.8 and from the assumption $d \geq 2$ that we have $h = 0$. Hence f is diagonal translation invariant. □

Lemma 6.10. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $f \in V_{n,d}$. Suppose that $\frac{1}{x_{n+1}}(f(x_1-x_{n+1},\dots,x_n-x_{n+1})-f(x_1,\dots,x_n))$ is invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$. Then f is diagonal translation invariant.*

Proof. We set $h(x_1,\dots,x_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{x_{n+1}}(f(x_1-x_{n+1},\dots,x_n-x_{n+1})-f(x_1,\dots,x_n))$. Then the polynomial h is invariant under the action of the following two elements $\gamma_0,\gamma_1 \in$

$$\gamma_0 = \iota_{n+1}(c_{n+1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \ddots & & & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and that of

$$\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ generated by γ_0 and γ_1 is equal to $\iota_{n+1}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+2})$. In particular h is a symmetric polynomial. Hence it follows from Lemma 6.9 that f is diagonal translation invariant. □

Lemma 6.11. *Suppose that a polynomial $f(x_1,\dots,x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ in n variables satisfies the equality*

$$\begin{aligned} &x_{n+1}\left(f(x_2-x_1,\dots,x_{n+1}-x_1)-f(x_2,\dots,x_{n+1})\right) \\ =&x_1\left(f(x_2-x_1,\dots,x_{n+1}-x_1)-f(x_1,\dots,x_n)\right) \end{aligned}$$

of polynomials in $n+1$ variables. Then $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}(x_n f(x_1,\dots,x_n))$ is diagonal translation invariant.

Proof. By assumption $(x_{n+1}-x_1)f(x_2-x_1,\dots,x_{n+1}-x_1)$ is the sum of a polynomial in $x_2,\dots,x_{n+1}$ and a polynomial in $x_1,\dots,x_n$. In particular we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+1}}\left((x_{n+1}-x_1)f(x_2-x_1,\dots,x_{n+1}-x_1)\right) = 0.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} (x_n f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = 0.$$

Hence $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} (x_n f(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ is diagonal translation invariant. □

6.4. **Proof of Proposition 6.6.**

Proof of Proposition 6.6. Since the claim for $d = 0$ is clear. We may assume $d \geq 2$. It follows from Lemma 6.10 that f is diagonal translation invariant.

Since $f \in D_{n,d}$, we have $f(x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} - x_1) = f(x_1 - x_{n+1}, \dots, x_n - x_{n+1})$. It then follows from Lemma 6.11 that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}(x_n f(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ is also diagonal translation invariant. Hence

$$x_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}(x_n f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) - f$$

is diagonal translation invariant. Since $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}$ is diagonal translation invariant, we have $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} = 0$. It follows that there exists a polynomial g of $n-1$ variables satisfying $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. On the other hands, the matrix

$$Q_n = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$$

acts on f by $(-1)^d$. Hence we have

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (-1)^d f(-x_n, x_1 - x_n, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_n).$$

Using this equality we can show, by a backward induction on i, that for each integer i with $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ there exist a polynomial g_i of i variables satisfying $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g_i(x_1, \dots, x_i)$. Hence f is a constant. Since we have assumed $d \geq 2$, we have $f = 0$. □

This complete a proof of Theorem 6.3, and hence that of Theorem 6.1.

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to Masanobu Kaneko, whose talk at RIMS on July, 2013 have excited the author's interest in this subject. He thanks Kentaro Ihara for giving me a copy of his notes of the talk and for a lot of helpful conversations. He would like to express his sincere gratitude to Jianqiang Zhao for reading the manuscript carefully and pointing out a lot of mistakes. The author was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 24540018.

References

[B] Brown, F.: *Mixed Tate motives over* $\mathbb{Z}$. Ann. of Math. **175**, no. 2, 949–976 (2012)
[D] Drinfel'd, V. G.: *On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and a group closely connected with* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{Q}/Q)$. Leningrad Math. J. **2**, 829–860 (1991)
[F] Furusho, H.: *p-adic multiple zeta values I.* Invent. Math. **155**, 253–286 (2004)
[H1] Hoffman, M. E.: *Multiple harmonic series.* Pacific J. Math. **152**, No. 2, 275–290 (1992)
[H2] Hoffman, M. E.: *Quasi-shuffle products.* J. Algebr. Comb. **11**, No. 1, 49–68 (2000)
[H3] Hoffman, M. E.: *Quasi-symmetric functions and mod p multiple harmonic sums.* Preprint math/0401319
[IKZ] Ihara, K., Kaneko, M., Zagier, D.: *Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values.* Compos. Math. **142**, 307–338 (2006)
[K] Kaneko, M.: *Finite multiple zeta values.* (Japanese) Preprint (2014)
[KZ] Keneko, M., Zagier, D.: *Finite multiple zeta values.* In preparation.

[T] Tsumura, H.: *Combinatorial relations for Euler-Zagier sums.* Acta Arith. **111**, no. 1, 27–42 (2004)

[Za] Zagier, D.: *Values of zeta functions and their applications.* In: First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II, 497–512. Birkhäuser, Boston, MA (1994)

[Zh] Zhao, J.: *Wolstenholme type theorem for multiple harmonic sums.* Int. J. Number Theory **4**, no. 1, 73–106 (2008)

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka university, Toky-onaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

E-mail address: s-yasuda@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp